

USSN: 10/772,020

Attorney Docket: I-2000.537 US D2

Response to Office Action of November 8, 2005

## **REMARKS**

### ***Status of the Claims***

Claims 14-19 are pending, with claim 14 being the only independent claim. Support for the amendments to claims 15 and 16 can be found in original claims 15 and 16. Claims 18 and 19 are sought to be amended to include the correct accession number, as evidenced by the corresponding biological sample deposit receipt provided herewith. These amendments do not introduce new matter and their entry is respectfully requested.

### ***Amendments to the Specification***

Amendments to the specification have been made to comply with requirements of form. In particular, reference to ECACC accession no. 00012608 has been changed to V00012608, which is the accession number reflected on the deposit receipt provided herewith. The specification has also been amended to provide a more detailed address for the ECACC. It is not believed that these changes introduce any new matter, and their entry is respectfully requested.

### ***Priority***

The Examiner has indicated that the reference to the earlier filed application “should be **updated** as to reflect the latest status of the priority application.” Office Action, page 2 (emphasis in original). Applicants thank the Examiner and have provided herewith an amendment to the specification that updates the reference.

### ***Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112***

Claims 14-19 stand rejected for lack of a statement indicating the extent of public availability of ECACC deposited samples under accession nos. 00020304 and V00012608. Office Action, page 2. Applicants thank the Examiner for pointing out that

If the deposit is made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then . . .  
a statement . . . stating that **the specific strains have been deposited under the Budapest Treaty and that the said strains will be irrevocably and without restriction or condition released to the public upon issuance of a**

USSN: 10/772,020

Attorney Docket: I-2000.537 US D2

Response to Office Action of November 8, 2005

**patent, would satisfy the deposit requirement made herein.**

Office Action, page 2 (emphasis in original).

Applicants respectfully point out that such a statement need not be made by an attorney of record. Rather, the patent regulations provide that a representative registered patent attorney or agent can provide such a statement:

When a registered patent attorney or patent agent acting in a representative capacity appears in person or signs a paper in practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office in a patent case, his or her personal appearance or signature shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that under the provisions of this subchapter and the law, he or she is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he or she acts. In filing such a paper, the registered patent attorney or patent agent must specify his or her registration number and name with his or her signature. Further proof of authority to act in a representative capacity may be required.

37 C.F.R. § 1.34. The M.P.E.P. reiterates that such statements can be made by a registered patent attorney acting as a representative under 37 C.F.R. § 1.34:

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.34\*, a paper filed by a registered patent attorney or agent in an application in which he or she is not of record \*must include \* his or her \*name and registration number >with his or her signature<. Acceptance of papers filed in patent applications and reexamination proceedings by registered attorneys and agents upon a representation that the attorney or agent is authorized to act in a representative capacity is for the purpose of facilitating replies on behalf of applicants in patent applications and, further, to obviate the need for filing powers of attorney \*\* in individual applications or patents when there has been a change in composition of law firms or corporate patent staffs. Interviews with a registered attorney or agent not of record will, in view of 35 U.S.C. 122, be conducted only on the basis of information and files supplied by the attorney or agent. A person acting in a representative capacity may not sign >(A)< a power of attorney \*>( 37 CFR 1.32(b)(4)), (B)< a document granting access to an application >(except where an executed oath or declaration has not been filed, and the patent practitioner was named in the papers accompanying the application papers - 37 CFR 1.14(c)), (C) a change of correspondence address (except where an executed oath or declaration has not been filed, and the patent practitioner filed the application - 37 CFR

USSN: 10/772,020

Attorney Docket: I-2000.537 US D2

Response to Office Action of November 8, 2005

1.33(a)), (D) a terminal disclaimer ( 37 CFR 1.321(b)(1)(iv)), or (E) a request for an express abandonment without filing a continuing application (37 CFR 1.138(b))<.

M.P.E.P., 8<sup>th</sup> ed., § 402 (October 2005 revision).

The below signed registered patent attorney is authorized to act in a representative capacity for the purpose of facilitating replies on behalf of Applicants in the captioned patent application. Further to 37 C.F.R. § 1.808(a), biological samples corresponding to accession numbers V00012608 and 00020304 have been deposited with the ECACC under the terms of the Budapest Treaty. Subject to any contractual arrangements allowed under 37 C.F.R. §1.808(b), all restrictions imposed by the Applicants or Assignee of the captioned matter on the availability to the public of biological samples corresponding to accession numbers V00012608 and 00020304, deposited respectively on January 26, 2000 and February 3, 2000, with the ECACC (European Collection of Animal Cell Cultures), Centre for Applied Microbiology & Research, Porton Down, Salisbury, Wiltshire SP4 OJG, United Kingdom, will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of the captioned application as a patent. Applicants also provide herewith copies of the deposit receipt from the ECACC for these biological samples.

Accordingly, Applicants believe that the rejection of claims 14-19 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 is hereby rendered moot. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

USSN: 10/772,020

Attorney Docket: I-2000.537 US D2

Response to Office Action of November 8, 2005

### ***CONCLUSION***

Applicants do not believe that any other fee is due in connection with this filing. If, however, Applicants do owe any such fee(s), the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fee(s) to Deposit Account No. 02-2334. In addition, if there is ever any other fee deficiency or overpayment under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 or 1.17 in connection with this patent application, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such deficiency or overpayment to Deposit Account No. **02-2334**.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and request that it be allowed. The Examiner is requested to call the Undersigned if any issues arise that can be addressed over the phone to expedite examination of this application.

Respectfully submitted,



Aaron L. Schwartz  
Reg. No. 48,181  
Attorney for Applicants

Patent Counsel  
Patent Department  
Intervet Inc.  
P.O. Box 318  
Millsboro, DE 19966  
(302) 933-4034 (tel)  
(302) 934-4305 (fax)